MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

J. Thomas Dilger, Jr., MD

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-13-0764-01

MFDR Date Received

November 19, 2012

Respondent Name

New Hampshire Insurance Company

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 19

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "This is a Designated Doctor Exam performed on 3/7/12. Despite multiple attempts the insurance carrier is attempting theft of services rendered. The DDE & claim were faxed to the carrier on 3/10/12."

Amount in Dispute: \$650.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "This is a medical fee dispute concerning service date March 7, 2012. Carrier is processing payment for this designated doctor exam and will supplement this response with proof of payment. This matter should be dismissed as resolved."

A request for subsequent Explanation of Benefits was requested on 1/14/15, but was not provided.

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
March 7, 2012	Designated Doctor Examination (MMI/IR)	\$650.00	\$650.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204 sets out the fee guidelines for billing and reimbursing Designated Doctor Examinations.
- The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes: No Explanation of Benefits were received for this dispute

<u>Issues</u>

1. What is the correct MAR for the disputed services?

2. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

1. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204 (j)(3), "The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an MMI evaluation. (C) An examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, shall bill using CPT Code 99456. Reimbursement shall be \$350." The submitted documentation indicates that the Designated Doctor performed an evaluation of Maximum Medical Improvement as ordered by the Division. Therefore, the correct MAR for this examination is \$350.00.

This dispute involves a Designated Doctor Impairment Rating (IR) evaluation, with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(j)(4), which states that "(C) For musculoskeletal body areas, the examining doctor may bill for a maximum of three body areas. (i) Musculoskeletal body areas are defined as follows: (I) spine and pelvis; (II) upper extremities and hands; and, (III) lower extremities (including feet). (ii) The MAR for musculoskeletal body areas shall be as follows. (I) \$150 for each body area if the Diagnosis Related Estimates (DRE) method found in the AMA Guides 4th edition is used. (D) ...(i) Non-musculoskeletal body areas are defined as follows: (I) body systems; (II) body structures (including skin); and, (III) mental and behavioral disorders. (ii) For a complete list of body system and body structure non-musculoskeletal body areas, refer to the appropriate AMA Guides... (v) The MAR for the assignment of an IR in a non-musculoskeletal body area shall be \$150".

Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor performed impairment rating evaluations of the lumbar spine and right inguinal hernia. Documentation indicates that the DRE method was used to determine the impairment rating of the spine and the hernia would be classified as a non-musculoskeletal body area. Therefore, the correct MAR for this examination is \$300.00.

2. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the insurance carrier has not supported a denial of payment for the disputed services. Payment of the disputed services was not supported. Therefore, reimbursement of \$650.00 is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$650.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$650.00 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

	Laurie Garnes	March 12, 2015	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, 37 Texas Register 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.